
SUMMARY OF CABINET / CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 7 December 2020

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISION ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 18 December 2020**

11 December 2020

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for City Services – 9 December 2020

Report 4 **Petition - Improve safety at the junction of The Chesils and Knoll Drive**

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the petitioners' concerns;
- 2) Endorse the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition organisers (as detailed in paragraphs 1.5 – 1.7 of the report).

Recommendation 1) above was approved. Recommendation 2) above was deleted and replaced with the following:

- 2) **Endorse the action taken to repair the vehicle-activated speed limit sign referred to in the petition and the proposal to install additional crossroad warning signs on The Chesils on each approach to the junction.**

- 3) **Agree that officers monitor the junction to collect vehicle and pedestrian flow data and arrange a site visit for the Cabinet Member and officers to meet with the Petition Organisers and Councillor Andrews, the Petition Sponsor, to discuss the matter further including any potential options which could alleviate the concerns raised.**

Report 5 Designating Cycle Routes – Canley and City Centre

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Approve the conversion of a section of footway between Leicester Row and Ringway St Nicholas to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 1.
- 2) Approve the conversion of a section of footway between the slip roads on Ringway St Nicholas to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 1.
- 3) Approve the conversion of a section of footway at the junction of Lamb Street/Upper Well Street to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 1.
- 4) Approve the conversion of a section of footway on refuge on Upper Well Street to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 1.
- 5) Approve the conversion of a section of footway at the Cannon Hill Road/Charter Avenue junction to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 2.
- 6) Approve the conversion of a section of footway between Charter Avenue and Sir Henry Parkes Road to cycle track under sections 65 and 66 of the Highways Act 1980 as shown in Figure 3.

The above recommendations were approved

Report 6 2020/21 Local Safety Scheme Programme – Average Speed Enforcement Scheme, Foleshill Road

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Approve the implementation of an ASE scheme on Foleshill Road from its junction with the A444 to its junction with Harnall lane West.
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the associated procurement process for ASE equipment is to be undertaken and approval is given to collaborate with partner organisations (West Midlands Police and other West Midlands Local Authorities)

The above recommendations were approved

Report 7

Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report, in response to the petitions received.

The above recommendation was approved

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.